

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/955,816 | 09/19/2001 | Davidson Lewis | 028732.0002 | 1696 | |
| 22467 | 7590 07/19/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| WILLIAMS MULLEN | | | SPISICH, MARK | | |
| FOUNTAIN PLAZA THREE, SUITE 200 721 LAKEFRONT COMMONS | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | NEWS, VA 23606 | | 1744 | | |

DATE MAILED: 07/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Advisory Action | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | | | | | | |

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|--|
| 09/955,816 | LEWIS ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Mark Spisich | 1744 | | |

| | • | | |
|--|---|---|---|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | T |
| · | Mark Spisich | 1744 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | iress |
| THE REPLY FILED 10 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or | wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply ming date of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C ust be filed within one in the final rejection, who date of the final rejection. | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) e of the following nichever is later. In ion. |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | 06.07(f). | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi | riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on 10 July 2006. A brief in date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl AMENDMENTS | any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3 | 7(e)), to avoid dismis | sal of the |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | ecause |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | tter form for appeal by materially re | | the issues for |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | · - | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all | : | • | |
| non-allowable claim(s). | nowabie ii submitted in a separate, | umely liled amendme | ant canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | ☐ will not be entered, or b) ⊠ wil vided below or appended. | l be entered and an e | explanation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | • | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | It before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is | ot be entered s necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. So | al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ned. |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. | it does NOT place the application ir | n condition for allowar | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N | o(s) | |
| 13. Other: | 7 | nalty | ml |
| | | Mark Spisich Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1744 | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the prior art has an abrasive cleaning surface (or one which is capable of being used as such) and the mere recitation that the surface is used to clean a particular article or surface does not further limit the structure of the claims.